Portfolio optimization with transaction costs

Jan Kallsen Johannes Muhle-Karbe

HVB Stiftungsinstitut für Finanzmathematik TU München

AMaMeF Mid-Term Conference, 18.09.2007, Wien





The Merton problem with transaction costs

A general principle

Application to Merton problem with transaction costs

References



The Merton problem with transaction costs

► Goal: Maximize expected utility from consumption

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\delta t} u(c_t) dt\right)$$

• Here:
$$u(x) = \log(x)$$

- c admissible consumption rate (no debts)
- Bank account: 1 (no interest paid)
- Stock price S: Modeled as geometric Brownian motion
- Proportional transaction costs μ, λ (e.g. 1%)



The Merton problem with transaction costs

Without transaction costs (Merton [1971]):

- ▶ Fixed fraction of wealth in stock (e.g. 31%)
- Consumption rate is fixed proportion of wealth
- Both numbers explicitly known

With transaction costs (Magill and Constantinides [1976], Davis and Norman [1990]):

- Fraction of wealth in stock in fixed corridor (e.g. 20-40%)
- Consumption rate is function of wealth in cash and stock
- Corridor known only as solution to free boundary problem

Shadow prices



Optimal portfolio with transaction costs?



Shadow prices



Optimal portfolio with transaction costs?



Shadow prices



Optimal portfolio with transaction costs

Optimal portfolio without transaction costs for shadow price

Shadow prices

- Idea: Problem with transaction costs as problem without transaction costs for different price process
- Shadow price at boundary when optimal strategy transacts

Appearances in various fields:

- Jouini and Kallal [1995]: No-arbitrage
- Lamberton et al. [1998]: Local risk minimization
- Cvitanić and Karatzas [1996], Loewenstein [2000]: Portfolio optimization

Useful for computations?



Real price processes:

- Stock price(discounted): $dS_t/S_t = \alpha d_t + \sigma dW_t$
- Bid price: $(1-\mu)S_t$
- Ask price: $(1 + \lambda)S_t$

Shadow price process $\widetilde{S} \in [(1-\mu)S, (1+\lambda)S]$:

$$\widehat{S}_t = \exp(C_t)S_t$$

• $C_t = \log(\widetilde{S}_t/S_t)$ deviation from real price

 $\triangleright \ C_t \in [\log(1-\mu), \log(1+\lambda)]$

Real price processes:

- Stock price(discounted): $dS_t/S_t = \alpha d_t + \sigma dW_t$
- Bid price: $(1-\mu)S_t$
- Ask price: $(1 + \lambda)S_t$

Shadow price process $\widetilde{S} \in [(1 - \mu)S, (1 + \lambda)S]$:

$$\widehat{S}_t = \exp(C_t)S_t$$

- $C_t = \log(\widetilde{S}_t/S_t)$ deviation from real price
- $C_t \in [\log(1-\mu), \log(1+\lambda)]$

Real price processes:

- Stock price(discounted): $dS_t/S_t = \alpha d_t + \sigma dW_t$
- Bid price: $(1-\mu)S_t$
- Ask price: $(1 + \lambda)S_t$

Shadow price process $\widetilde{S} \in [(1 - \mu)S, (1 + \lambda)S]$:

$$\widehat{S}_t = \exp(C_t)S_t$$

• $C_t = \log(\widetilde{S}_t/S_t)$ deviation from real price

•
$$C_t \in [\log(1-\mu), \log(1+\lambda)]$$

Dynamics of C?



Ansatz:

► Itô process
$$dC_t = \widetilde{\alpha}(C_t)dt + \widetilde{\sigma}(C_t)dW_t$$

 $\Rightarrow d\widetilde{S}_t/\widetilde{S}_t = \mathsf{Drift}(C_t)d_t + \mathsf{Diffusion}(C_t)dW_t$

Optimal strategy (without transaction costs):

• Consumption: $\delta \widetilde{V}_t$

Fraction of stocks:
$$\pi(C_t) = \frac{\text{Drift}(C_t)}{\text{Diffusion}(C_t)^2}$$

• Use transformation $\frac{1}{1+\exp(f(C_t))} = \pi(C_t)$

⇒ Need to determine **3 functions**: $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\sigma}$, f⇒ $f(\log(1 - \mu))$, $f(\log(1 + \lambda))$ determine corridor



Ansatz:

► Itô process $dC_t = \widetilde{\alpha}(C_t)dt + \widetilde{\sigma}(C_t)dW_t$

 $\Rightarrow d\widetilde{S}_t/\widetilde{S}_t = \text{Drift}(C_t)d_t + \text{Diffusion}(C_t)dW_t$

Optimal strategy (without transaction costs):

• Consumption: $\delta \widetilde{V}_t$

Fraction of stocks:
$$\pi(C_t) = \frac{\text{Drift}(C_t)}{\text{Diffusion}(C_t)^2}$$

• Use transformation $\frac{1}{1+\exp(f(C_t))} = \pi(C_t)$

⇒ Need to determine **3 functions**: $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\sigma}$, f⇒ $f(\log(1 - \mu))$, $f(\log(1 + \lambda))$ determine corridor



Ansatz:

• Itô process $dC_t = \widetilde{\alpha}(C_t)dt + \widetilde{\sigma}(C_t)dW_t$

 $\Rightarrow d\widetilde{S}_t/\widetilde{S}_t = \text{Drift}(C_t)d_t + \text{Diffusion}(C_t)dW_t$

Optimal strategy (without transaction costs):

• Consumption: $\delta \widetilde{V}_t$

Fraction of stocks:
$$\pi(C_t) = \frac{\text{Drift}(C_t)}{\text{Diffusion}(C_t)^2}$$

• Use transformation $\frac{1}{1+\exp(f(C_t))} = \pi(C_t)$

⇒ Need to determine 3 functions: $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\sigma}$, f ⇒ $f(\log(1-\mu))$, $f(\log(1+\lambda))$ determine corridor

• Optimality:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-f)} = \frac{\text{Drift}}{\text{Diffusion}^2} \tag{I}$$

No trading within bounds: dφ_t = 0 for optimal φ
 Itô's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_t &= \text{somefunction}(f, f', f'', \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\sigma}) dt \\ &+ \text{anotherfunction}(f, f', \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\sigma}) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

► Hence

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 = \text{somefunction}, & (II) \\ 0 = \text{anotherfunction} & (III) \end{array}$$





• Optimality:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-f)} = \frac{\text{Drift}}{\text{Diffusion}^2} \tag{I}$$

No trading within bounds: dφ_t = 0 for optimal φ
 Itô's formula:

$$d\varphi_t = \text{somefunction}(f, f', f'', \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\sigma})dt \\ + \text{anotherfunction}(f, f', \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\sigma})dW_t$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{somefunction}, & (II) \\ 0 &= \text{anotherfunction} & (III) \end{aligned}$$



• Optimality:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-f)} = \frac{\text{Drift}}{\text{Diffusion}^2} \tag{I}$$

No trading within bounds: dφ_t = 0 for optimal φ
 Itô's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_t &= \text{somefunction}(f, f', f'', \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\sigma}) dt \\ &+ \text{anotherfunction}(f, f', \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\sigma}) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{somefunction}, & (II) \\ 0 &= \text{anotherfunction} & (III) \end{aligned}$$



Solution to Equations I-III:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma} &= \frac{\sigma}{f'-1} \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= -\alpha + \sigma^2 \left(\frac{f'}{f'-1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-f}}\right) \end{split}$$

f satisfies the ODE

$$\begin{split} f''(x) &= \left(\frac{2\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^{f(x)})\right) + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} - 1 - \frac{4\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^{f(x)})\right)f'(x) \\ &+ \left(\frac{4\alpha}{\sigma^2} + 2 - \frac{2\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^{f(x)}) + \frac{1-e^{-f(x)}}{1+e^{-f(x)}}\right)(f'(x))^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2}{1+e^{-f(x)}}\right)(f'(x))^3 \end{split}$$

Still missing: Boundary conditions for $x = \log(1 - \mu)$ and $x = \log(1 + \lambda)$



Heuristics for boundary conditions:

- Optimal fraction π(C_t): Reflected diffusion (e.g. between 20% and 40%) ⇒ local time at boundary
- Hence $f(C_t) = \log \left(\frac{1 \pi(C_t)}{\pi(C_t)}\right)$ has local time
- Our Ansatz: \tilde{S}_t (and hence C_t) Itô process, i.e. no local time at boundary
- Intuition: otherwise infinite position optimal at boundary

Consequence: Contradiction unless f' = ∞ on boundary
▶ Boundary conditions

Boundary conditions

$$\begin{array}{lll} f'(\log(1-\mu)) &=& \infty \\ f'(\log(1+\lambda)) &=& \infty \end{array}$$



Heuristics for boundary conditions:

- ▶ Optimal fraction $\pi(C_t)$: Reflected diffusion (e.g. between 20% and 40%) \Rightarrow local time at boundary
- Hence $f(C_t) = \log\left(\frac{1-\pi(C_t)}{\pi(C_t)}\right)$ has local time
- Our Ansatz: \tilde{S}_t (and hence C_t) Itô process, i.e. no local time at boundary
- Intuition: otherwise infinite position optimal at boundary

Consequence: Contradiction unless $f' = \infty$ on boundary

Boundary conditions

$$\begin{array}{lll} f'(\log(1-\mu)) &=& \infty \\ f'(\log(1+\lambda)) &=& \infty \end{array}$$



Heuristics for boundary conditions:

Optimal fraction π(C_t): Reflected diffusion (e.g. between 20% and 40%) ⇒ local time at boundary

• Hence
$$f(C_t) = \log\left(\frac{1-\pi(C_t)}{\pi(C_t)}\right)$$
 has local time

▶ Our Ansatz: \tilde{S}_t (and hence C_t) Itô process, i.e. no local time at boundary

Intuition: otherwise infinite position optimal at boundary

Consequence: Contradiction unless f' = ∞ on boundary
▶ Boundary conditions

$$f'(\log(1-\mu)) = \infty$$

 $f'(\log(1+\lambda)) = \infty$



Heuristics for boundary conditions:

Optimal fraction π(C_t): Reflected diffusion (e.g. between 20% and 40%) ⇒ local time at boundary

• Hence
$$f(C_t) = \log\left(\frac{1-\pi(C_t)}{\pi(C_t)}\right)$$
 has local time

- ► Our Ansatz: Š_t (and hence C_t) Itô process, i.e. no local time at boundary
- Intuition: otherwise infinite position optimal at boundary

Consequence: Contradiction unless f' = ∞ on boundary
▶ Boundary conditions

$$\begin{array}{lll} f'(\log(1-\mu)) &=& \infty \\ f'(\log(1+\lambda)) &=& \infty \end{array}$$



Heuristics for boundary conditions:

▶ Optimal fraction $\pi(C_t)$: Reflected diffusion (e.g. between 20% and 40%) \Rightarrow local time at boundary

• Hence
$$f(C_t) = \log\left(\frac{1-\pi(C_t)}{\pi(C_t)}\right)$$
 has local time

- ► Our Ansatz: Š_t (and hence C_t) Itô process, i.e. no local time at boundary
- Intuition: otherwise infinite position optimal at boundary

Consequence: Contradiction unless $f' = \infty$ on boundary

Boundary conditions

$$f'(\log(1-\mu)) = \infty$$

 $f'(\log(1+\lambda)) = \infty$

Numerical solution: Consider $g = f^{-1}$

▶ ODE for g:

$$g''(y) = \left(\frac{1-e^{-y}}{1+e^{-y}} + 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2}\right) \\ + \left(\frac{4\alpha}{\sigma^2} - 2 - \frac{1-e^{-y}}{1+e^{-y}} - \frac{2\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^y)\right)g'(y) \\ + \left(-\frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} + 1 - \frac{4\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^y)\right)(g'(y))^2 \\ - \left(\frac{2\delta}{\sigma^2}(1+e^y)\right)(g'(y))^3$$

Free boundary: y_1, y_2 with

$$g(y_1) = \log(1 - \mu), \quad g'(y_1) = 0$$

$$g(y_2) = \log(1 + \lambda), \quad g'(y_2) = 0$$

► Free boundaries y₁, y₂ determine corridor, g = f⁻¹ determines dynamics of C and hence S̃ = exp(C)S

Application to Merton problem with transaction costs $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Numerical solution ct'd}}$



ΠЛ



ТШ

Application to Merton problem with transaction costs ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{Simulation ct'd}}}$





Summary

Computation of conditions:

- 1. Optimality without transaction costs,
- 2. Constant trading strategy within bounds,
- 3. Boundary conditions via Itô process assumption.

Verification:

- 1. Prove existence of a solution to free boundary problem.
- 2. Prove existence of corresponding processes \tilde{S} etc.
- 3. Show that optimal investment in \tilde{S} trades only at boundary.



References

- J. Cvitanić and I. Karatzas. Hedging and portfolio optimization under transaction costs: a martingale approach. *Mathematical Finance*, 6(2): 133–165, 1996.
- M. H. A. Davis and A. R. Norman. Portfolio selection with transaction costs. Mathematics of Operations Research, 15(4):676–713, 1990.
- E. Jouini and H. Kallal. Martingales and arbitrage in securities markets with transaction costs. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 66(1):178–197, 1995.
- D. Lamberton, H. Pham, and M. Schweizer. Local risk-minimization under transaction costs. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 23(3):585–612, 1998.
- M. Loewenstein. On optimal portfolio trading strategies for an investor facing transactions costs in a continuous trading market. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 33(2), 2000.
- M. J. P. Magill and G. M. Constantinides. Portfolio selection with transactions costs. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 13(2):245–263, 1976.
- R. C. Merton. Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 3:373–413, 1971.